

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,063	01/26/2006	Henry Buse	Q92726	2042	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 01/22/201 TON PLLC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			CHEUNG, CHUN HOI		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
······································	11, DC 20051	3728			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/22/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/595,063	BUSE, HENRY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHUN CHEUNG	3728		

	CHUN CHEUNG	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies; (f) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 TCRF 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	ithin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, i			cause				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>		E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will	be entered and an e	colanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Do ontoroa ana an o	, prantation or				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>44-45</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entared				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/Mickey Yu/	/CHUN CHEUNG/						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3728

Examiner, Art Unit 3728

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument does not overcome prior final rejection, applicant argue that the Singer pack is filled up by the pack content along its full height with no formation of a chamber. However, examiner does not agree with the argument because when the width of the cigarette block is also the same width of the cigarette pack, the round portion will create a chamber (as shown as dotted line in previous action).

Applicant also argue that "a conventional pack of this type of pack content, cigarette block of Singer completely fills up the pack's interior space and Singer pack the cigarette block (also) extends up to the end wall 50 of the lid" (Argument page 7). Which Singer DOES NOT discloses a block completely fills up the interior space, and a conventional flip-top hinged lid cigarette pack eakage are not always have inside cigarette pack completely filled up to the end wall 50 of the lid. For example, U.S. Patlent, 55-4, 563 discloses the so call "conventional" flip-top hinged lid cigarette with a smaller cigarette pack inside the blank and because of the height of the cigarette pack is smaller than the height of the cigarette pack a chamber (or cavity 31) is form between the end wall of the lid and the cigarette.